USE OF COMPOSITE VARIABLES: AN EPIDEMIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE

David Coggon

EPIDEMIOLOGY

- Investigates the distribution of health and its determinants
- Descriptive studies describe the distribution of health-related variables in defined populations at defined times • Analytical studies explore the interrelationship of health-related variables (causation or prediction)

SPECIFICATION AND MODELLING OF

VARIABLES

- Both types of study require specification of the variables to be analysed
- May be measured directly (e.g. weight, peak expiratory flow, blood lead)
- Often are composites of two or measures (e.g. many case definitions, incidence, BMI, forced expiratory ratio)
- Analytical studies may also entail statistical modelling

STATISTICAL MODELLING

• Makes assumptions about nature of relationships between variables • Assumed relationships should have conceptual validity

• Validity can be explored empirically • In some cases, the use of composite variables can be considered a form of modelling

REASONS FOR USING COMPOSITE VARIABLES

- Simple scaling (e.g. incidence, prevalence)
- Best practicable proxy for a gold standard (e.g. case definition for myocardial infarction)
- No gold standard available, but composite has conceptual and possibly predictive validity (e.g. scales for depression, somatising tendency, ERI, job strain)

PROS AND CONS OF COMPOSITE VARIABLES

- Composite variables can simplify analysis, and are of proven utility
- But combining primary measures in composite variables may lose useful information (e.g. BMI, ERI)
- Use of ratios has been a particular concern

PROBLEMS WITH RATIOS

• Pearson (1897) referred to “spurious correlation” that can occur between ratios even if all of the component variables of the ratios are uncorrelated

• Neyman (1952) constructed fictitious example in which number of storks per 10,000 women and number of babies per 10,000 women was highly correlated across a sample of counties, although within counties having same numbers of women number of storks was unrelated to number of babies (counties with most women tended to have fewer storks/10,000 women and fewer babies/10,000 women)

RECOMMENDATIONS OF KRONMAL (1993)

- "The use of ratios in regression analyses should be avoided"

- "Ratios should only be used in the context of a full linear model in which the variables that make up that ratio are included and the intercept term is also present"

UNIVERSITY OF Southampton School of Medicine

SOME THOUGHTS ON KRONMAL

- Problems identified reflect sub-optimal specification of models and are not limited to ratios.
- They could apply to products (X*Y = X/[1/Y]), and to compound variables more generally
- Any variable can be expressed as a ratio of two other variables (X = [X/Y] / [1/Y])
- Including a term for each variable and intercept may still not be optimal (e.g. power functions might be relevant)
- Potential disadvantages of over-elaborate models,

especially if data-driven

DECISIONS IN DESIGN AND ANALYSIS

- Data to be collected
- Specification of variables from those data (may be directly measured or a function of what is measured)

• Specification of statistical models 

HOW SHOULD DECISIONS BE MADE?

• No universal rules, only guiding principles • Prime consideration is study question and conceptual understanding

• Collection of data should be practicable and efficient •

Variables analysed should have validity (conceptual, repeatability, predictive, against a gold standard) • Consistency with other research

• Statistical models should be conceptually valid but parsimonious

• Where optimal choices are uncertain, can explore alternatives, but data-driven models may in part reflect random sampling variation

Ultimately, what matters is practical utility

FACTORS FAVOURING USE OF COMPLEX VARIABLES

- Strong conceptual grounding, ideally with empirical evidence of validity

• Parsimony without undue loss of validity • Compatiblility with other research (meta analysis)